

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| L PRI ICA TIONINO              | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNET DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
| 09/747,795                     | 12/21/2000    | Charles A. Drake     |                     | 4636             |  |
| . 75                           | 90 11/19/2003 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |  |
| Rodney B Carroll               |               | GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN |                     |                  |  |
| Conley Rose P                  | Ç             |                      |                     |                  |  |
| 5700 Granite Parkway Suite 330 |               | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
| Plano, TX 75024                |               |                      | 1764 .              |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |                                       |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Advisory Action  | 09/747,795   | DRAKE ET AL.  |                                       |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner   | Art Unit  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|  | Walter D. Griffin  | 1764  |                                       |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sh t with th  | correspondence address  | s                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | void abandonment of this applion  I) a timely filed amendment whith all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time | cation. A proper reply ch places the applicati                  | to a<br>on in                         |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |                                       |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of  |  |   |                                       |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv<br>event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the<br>ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS<br>706.07(f).   | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH                                   | f the final rejection.<br>E FINAL REJECTION. See I              | MPEP                                  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>I statutory period for reply originally set in               | e fee. The appropriate extensithe final Office action; or (2) a | ion fee under<br>as set forth in      |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF  |  |   |                                       |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b   | ecause:  | •.  |                                       |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth   | er consideration and/or search (   | (see NOTE below);   |                                       |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by  | pelow);  |   |                                       |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or   | in better form for appeal by mat   | erially reducing or sim   | plifying the                          |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel  | ing a corresponding number of  | finally rejected claims.  |                                       |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  | •  |   |                                       |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection  | ction(s):  |   |                                       |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would<br/>canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | be allowable if submitted in a s   | separate, timely filed a  | mendment                              |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:  |  | sidered but does NOT  | place the                             |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which were  | newly                                 |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w   | • • •  | •   | d an                                  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |   |                                       |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |                                       |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |                                       |
| Claim(s) rejected: 2-22  |  |   |                                       |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | •  |   |                                       |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app   | proved or b) disapproved by  | the Examiner.   |                                       |
| 9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   | •  |   |                                       |
| 10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>  |  |   |                                       |
| Section Sectio |  | Welt D. Duff  | 7_                                    |

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 009/747,795

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitations regarding the types of conversion and the characteristics of the upgraded oligomerization product added to claim 18 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 10. Other: The request to withdraw the finality of the office action mailed on August 26, 2003 is denied. The amendment to claim 18 in the amendment filed on June 10, 2003 necessitated the new ground of rejection. Applicant's arguments concerning the claims presented in the amendment of October 27, 2003 will not be addressed since the amendment has not been entered..